

Providing Appropriate Student Feedback

October 1, 2014

Alan Russell

Center for Teaching and Learning
russella@elon.edu, 6243

Paul Anderson

Writing Across the University
PaulAnderson@elon.edu, 6646

Michael Vaughn

Teaching and Learning Technologies
mvaughn3@elon.edu, 5032

When provided effectively, feedback is among the most powerful teaching tools.

Feedback defined

Communicating to students what they are doing well and how they can do even better at performing some substantial task you are teaching them to perform.

Create assignments that support supercharged feedback

Identify your learning goals

Create a meaningful assignment

Articulate your criteria—including levels of performance—to yourself

Craft feedback that maximizes student learning

Distinguish feedback comments from grading

Coach, don't criticize

Praise

Ask questions

Give students responsibility for revising (corollary: Give feedback when students can act on it)

Establish a hierarchy of issues and select what you will comment on

Use minimal marking

Be a good steward of your time

Give oral feedback to the whole class

Don't waste time on careless work: Set a threshold

Build Feedback into Quizzes

Incorporate certainty-based marking

Save time with rubrics or marking guides in Moodle

Use screencasting

what's obvious here?

Jack Daniel's is facing an obvious ethical dilemma with regard to the "thick slop"

what's the thick slop situation? how?
situation) Jack Daniel's had clearly established a relationship with the farmers in the area

what area?

by giving them free "thick slop" to feed their cattle. [Rewrite This in the active voice. There also existed an expressed

agreement between farmers and Jack Daniel to continue this arrangement on the grounds

that the farmers make substantial environment improvements.] The farmers upheld their

end of the bargain, but Jack Daniel's backed out of the deal as a result of economic

problems. [The question that arises here as to whether Jack Daniel's refusal to supply the farmers with cattle feed longer efficient is completely ethical.] This makes no sense.

reviewed and compared against three ethical criteria. The Utilitarian Theory, the Theory of Rights and the Theories of Justice can all be used to establish whether or not Jack Daniel's action is ethical.] [Condense, combine, clarify. The situation can be

of Rights and the Theories of Justice can all be used to establish whether or not Jack

Daniel's action is ethical.]

When considering the Utilitarian Theory one can see that there does exist an

change the sentence sequence

ethical problem. The consequence of Jack Daniel's actions is that the farmers receive no feed for their cattle, and as a result there is severe tension between the farmers and the distillery. Jack Daniel's [obviously] backed out of the deal and the question that arises here is whether this action is producing the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Apparently this situation is more efficient for Jack Daniel's, but it is definitely not producing the greatest good for the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

and add clearer transitions

feed for their cattle, and as a result there is severe tension between the farmers and the

distillery. Jack Daniel's [obviously] backed out of the deal and the question that arises

Cut fluff and filler like this.

here is whether this action is producing the greatest good for the greatest number of

people. Apparently this situation is more efficient for Jack Daniel's, but it is definitely

not producing the greatest good for the greatest good for the greatest number of people.

Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

You better define dry house.

situation. The "dry house" method of getting rid of slop is more economical, but under the Utilitarian Theory this alternative method is unethical.

Under the Theory of Rights one can conclude that Jack Daniel's behavior is unethical. The Theory of Rights states that humans have moral rights that should be respected in all actions and situations. The Jack Daniel distillery made a deal, albeit informal, with the farmers in the area to provide them with thick slop if they made environmental improvements. The farmers succeeded in fulfilling this requirement, but Jack Daniel's failed to comply with the agreement. The farmers relied on Jack Daniel's to their detriment by making investments in the environment for which they received no compensation. According to the Theory of Rights, Jack Daniel's action is considered unethical.

This part isn't clear.

This is awkward.

When considering the Theories of Justice, one can also see that Jack Daniel's action was unethical. The Theories of Justice are built on the premise that any should produce a fair distribution of the benefits and burdens when coming together in a working situation. It appears that the farmers and the distillery came together to make improvements in the environment. Benefits were to be received by both parties, but economic problems surfaced. Instead of sharing this burden, Jack Daniel's dumped the burden entirely on the farmers. Additionally, according to the Theories of Justice, there should be a consistent administration of rules and practices. Jack Daniel's traditionally provided the farmers with thick slop, and they should ethically continue to do so,

Where did burdens come from?

especially since there was an agreement between the two groups. Jack Daniel's should make some restitution for their action because they are in a position of control.

The restitution should be made in the form of providing the farmers with the thick slop as originally agreed. The farmers made environmental improvements and consequently Jack Daniel's should hold up their end of the bargain. Because Jack Daniel's hit an economic slump, they should consider charging a price for the "thick slop" that would be fair to the farmers and also help the distillery. Jack Daniel's could provide the greatest good to the greatest number of people by providing the farmers with the cattle feed for a low price, they would be respecting the rights of the farmers by doing so, they would share the burden of the economic problems and they would provide restitution for their action. According to the Utilitarian Theory, the Theory of Rights and the Theories of Justice, Jack Daniel's acted unethically and should remedy the situation by going back to their former way of getting rid of slop by giving it to the farmers.

You have some good points here, but to improve this paper, you need to clarify your sentences. You need to clarify your thesis and argument, too. Proofread.

Managers of The Jack Daniel Company must find an ethical way to resolve a conflict between the company and local farmers who were promised free "thick slop." [Explain "thick slop" and its relevance to farming.] Also explain the "dry house" method of getting rid of slop. Jack Daniel's had clearly established a relationship with the farmers in the area of slop.

Jack Daniel managers had promised by giving them free "thick slop" to feed their cattle. There also existed an expressed agreement between farmers and Jack Daniel to continue this arrangement on the grounds that the farmers would substantially improve the environment. [You might explain their motivation.] The farmers upheld their end of the bargain, but Jack Daniel's backed out of the deal as a result of economic problems.

The question that arises here is whether Jack Daniel's refusal to supply the farmers with cattle feed longer efficient is completely ethical. The situation can be evaluated on the basis of three ethical theories: The Utilitarian Theory, the Theory of Rights and the Theories of Justice, can all be used to establish whether or not Jack Daniel's action is ethical.

According to the Utilitarian Theory, one can see that there does exist an ethical problem. The consequence of Jack Daniel's actions is that the farmers receive no feed for their cattle, and as a result there is severe tension between the farmers and the distillery. Jack Daniel's obviously backed out of the deal, and the question that arises here is whether this action is producing the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Apparently this situation is more efficient for the Company, it did not produce the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

an action should produce the greatest good for the greatest number of people. On this basis, the managers of the Jack Daniel Company acted unethically because the farmers had no feed for their cattle, and as a result there is severe tension between the farmers and the distillery. Jack Daniel's obviously backed out of the deal, and the question that arises here is whether this action is producing the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Apparently this situation is more efficient for the Company, it did not produce the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

to save themselves money. The question that arises here is whether this action is producing the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Apparently this situation is more efficient for the Company, it did not produce the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

While this action is more efficient for the Company, it did not produce the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

B-1

situation. The "dry house" method of getting rid of slop ^{was} more economical, but under the Utilitarian Theory this alternative method is unethical.

According to

~~Under the Theory of Rights, one can conclude that Jack Daniel's behavior is~~

~~unethical. The Theory of Rights states that humans have moral rights that should be respected in all actions and situations.~~

This means that both the managers of The distillery and

~~The Jack Daniel distillery made a deal, albeit~~

The local farmers had a moral right to expect the other party to honor a mutual agreement.

~~informally with the farmers in the area to provide them with thick slop if they made~~

improved the

~~environmental improvements. The farmers succeeded in fulfilling this requirement, but~~

~~Jack Daniel's failed to comply with the agreement. The farmers relied on Jack Daniel's~~

~~to their detriment by making investments in the environment for which they received no~~

~~compensation. According to the Theory of Rights, Jack Daniel's ^{the} ~~action is considered~~ *Company failed to act*~~

~~unethically,~~

~~When considering the Theories of Justice, one can also see that Jack Daniel's~~

~~action was unethical. The Theories of Justice ^{is} ~~are~~ built on the premise that any ^{action} ~~should~~~~

~~produce a fair distribution of the benefits and burdens when coming together in a working~~

~~situation. It appears that the farmers and the distillery ^{agreed to} ~~came together to make~~~~

~~improvements in the environment, ^{both parties should have benefited from the} ~~Benefits were to be received by both parties, but~~~~

~~agreement. However, ^{when economic problems surfaced,} ~~when Jack Daniel's Company~~ ~~economic problems surfaced. Instead of sharing this burden, Jack Daniel's dumped the~~~~

~~burden entirely on the farmers. ^{and failed to share the burden.}~~

~~Additionally, according to the Theories of Justice, ^{there} ~~there~~ ^{should be administered consistently.} ~~should be a consistent administration of rules and practices. Jack Daniel's ^{had} ~~traditionally~~~~~~

~~provided the farmers with thick slop, and ^{to be consistent, They should} ~~they should ethically~~ continue to do so,~~

had agreed to exchange slop for service.
especially since ~~there was an agreement between~~ the two groups. Jack Daniel's should
make some restitution for their action because they are in a position of control.

The restitution should be made in the form of providing the farmers with the thick
slop as originally agreed. The farmers made environmental improvements and,
consequently, Jack Daniel's should hold up their end of the bargain. Because Jack
Daniel's hit an economic slump, they should consider charging a price for the "thick
slop" that would be fair to the farmers and also help the distillery. Jack Daniel's could
provide the greatest good to the greatest number of people by providing the farmers with
the cattle feed for a low price, they would be respecting the rights of the farmers by doing
so, they would share the burden of the economic problems and they would provide
restitution for their action. According to the Utilitarian Theory, the Theory of Rights and
the Theories of Justice, Jack Daniel's acted unethically and should remedy the situation
by going back to their ~~form~~ way of getting rid of slop by giving ^{it} to the farmers.

Jack Daniel's is facing an obvious ethical dilemma with regard to the "thick slop" situation. Jack Daniel's had clearly established a relationship with the farmers in the area by giving them free "thick slop" to feed their cattle. There also existed an expressed agreement between farmers and Jack Daniel to continue this arrangement on the grounds that the farmers make substantial environment improvements. The farmers upheld their end of the bargain, but Jack Daniel's backed out of the deal as a result of economic problems. (The question that arises here as to whether Jack Daniel's refusal to supply the farmers with cattle feed longer efficient is completely ethical. The situation can be reviewed and compared against three ethical criteria. The Utilitarian Theory, the Theory of Rights and the Theories of Justice can all be used to establish whether or not Jack Daniel's action is ethical.)

Your Thesis might be rewritten more concisely by saying "According to XYZ Theories, the Jack Daniel Co. did/did not act ethically."

When considering the Utilitarian Theory one can see that there does exist an ethical problem. The consequence of Jack Daniel's actions is that the farmers receive no feed for their cattle and as a result there is severe tension between the farmers and the distillery. Jack Daniel's obviously backed out of the deal and the question that arises here is whether this action is producing the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Apparently this situation is more efficient for Jack Daniel's, but it is definitely not producing the greatest good for the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Their action falls short of helping the community, and the farmers are damaged in this

hey did not say they would continue until the improvements were made;

they said they would stop if the improvements were not made. This is not the same thing.

They can buy feed elsewhere.

situation. (The "dry house" method of getting rid of slop is more economical, but under the Utilitarian Theory this alternative method is unethical.)

Under the Theory of Rights one can conclude that Jack Daniel's behavior is unethical. The Theory of Rights states that humans have moral rights that should be respected in all actions and situations. The Jack Daniel distillery made a deal, albeit informal, with the farmers in the area to provide them with thick slop if they made environmental improvements. The farmers succeeded in fulfilling this requirement, but Jack Daniel's failed to comply with the agreement. The farmers relied on Jack Daniel's to their detriment by making investments in the environment for which they received no compensation. According to the Theory of Rights, Jack Daniel's action is considered unethical.

The Theory consists of specific rights. Which ones, if any, were honored? Which ones were violated?

When considering the Theories of Justice, one can also see that Jack Daniel's action was unethical. The Theories of Justice are built on the premise that any should produce a fair distribution of the benefits and burdens when coming together in a working situation. It appears that the farmers and the distillery came together to make improvements in the environment. Benefits were to be received by both parties, but economic problems surfaced. Instead of sharing this burden, Jack Daniel's dumped the burden entirely on the farmers. Additionally, according to the Theories of Justice, there should be a consistent administration of rules and practices. Jack Daniel's traditionally provided the farmers with thick slop, and they should ethically continue to do so,

You might examine "benefits" from both sides and then examine "burdens" from both sides.

especially since there was an agreement between the two groups. Jack Daniel's should make some restitution for their action because they are in a position of control.

The restitution should be made in the form of providing the farmers with the thick slop as originally agreed. The farmers made environmental improvements and consequently Jack Daniel's should hold up their end of the bargain. Because Jack Daniel's hit an economic slump, they should consider charging a price for the "thick slop" that would be fair to the farmers and also help the distillery. Jack Daniel's could provide the greatest good to the greatest number of people by providing the farmers with the cattle feed for a low price, they would be respecting the rights of the farmers by doing so, they would share the burden of the economic problems and they would provide restitution for their action. According to the Utilitarian Theory, the Theory of Rights and the Theories of Justice, Jack Daniel's acted unethically and should remedy the situation by going back to their former way of getting rid of slop by giving it to the farmers.

—, you've made a compelling case that JD acted to some degree unethically & should make restitution. To make your good argument even stronger, you could focus your thesis (by qualifying it and by specifying on which grounds JD was unethical) & move your thesis to end of 1st ¶ (where reader usually expects it). You could also refine your argument throughout by explaining more specifically the part of the theory (maybe not all of it) that is

JD has in giving the farmers slop 40 compensation. You might concede the difficulties JD has in giving. The farmers slop 40 compensation.

violated.

FEEDBACK WITH TECHNOLOGY

Providing Appropriate Student Feedback

Paul Anderson, Director of Writing Across the University (panderson4@elon.edu) | Alan Russell, Associate Director of CATL (russella@elon.edu)
Michael Vaughn | Instructional Technologist (TLT) | mvaughn3@elon.edu | x5032 | Belk Library 115

An ongoing challenge in my role is knowing how to avoid sharing too much at once. With that in mind, I've carefully selected three different methods of either gathering or sharing feedback in your classrooms. These methods represent a comfortable place to begin using technology as a medium for feedback. They're accessible enough to learn with the resources below (and about 20 minutes of free time).

As an Instructional Technologist, ensuring your success with educational and instructional technology is at the heart of what I do. If you're interested in learning more, please contact me via phone, email, or at my office in the Belk Library.

	What's the big idea?	How can I learn more?
Journaling in Moodle	Reflective journals can be a powerful tool for allowing your students to provide feedback about where they are in the learning process. Journals can be created in less than a minute in Moodle with just a few clever tweaks to the Assignment activity (just use the settings in the links to the right). Oh, and be sure to change the Feedback settings to "Yes" so you can share your responses.	Setting up an Online Text Assignment in Moodle: bit.ly/1wUDgR3 Using the Assignment as an ongoing Journal: bit.ly/1ouRL9b
Making a Choice in Moodle	Sometimes the easiest way to gather feedback from your students is by asking. The Choice activity in Moodle lets you ask your students a single question, and offer a selection of possible responses. Your question could be used to test your students' understanding of a concept, or gather feedback about whether or not you should spend more time covering a topic in class. You can even publish the question results after your students have answered, after a certain date, or not at all.	What is the Choice Activity?: bit.ly/1uySVri Choice Activity Settings: bit.ly/1rquXOj Ways to Use the Choice Activity: bit.ly/1yy0IIB
Show and Tell with a Screencast	A screencast is a digital video recording of your computer screen, which is typically accompanied by voice narration. Some educators have begun using screencasts to record their feedback on digitally submitted work. Early student responses to the use of screencasts as a feedback tool seem to indicate that students have an overwhelmingly positive view of the screencasts, prefer it over traditional written comments, and feel it improves their connection with and opinions toward their instructor.	Examples of Assessment Feedback with Screencasts: bit.ly/1sLSREJ Talking with Students through Screencasting: bit.ly/UYAA2s Screencast Feedback on Writing: bit.ly/11upJCZ (Note: This is a PDF!)